



ELSEVIER

Diamond and Related Materials xx (2002) xxx–xxx

**DIAMOND
AND
RELATED
MATERIALS**

www.elsevier.com/locate/diamond

The dislocation of low-angle grain boundaries in GaN epilayers: a HRTEM quantitative study and finite element stress state calculation

S. Kret^{a,c}, P. Dluzewski^b, G. Maciejewski^b, V. Potin^a, J. Chen^a, P. Ruterana^a, G. Nouet^{a,*}

^aESCTM-CRISMAT UMR 6508 CNRS-ISMRA, 6 Boulevard du Maréchal Juin, 14050 Caen Cedex, France

^bInstitute of Fundamental Technological Research, PAS, ul. Swietokrzyska 21, 02-049 Warszawa, Poland

^cPermanent address: Institute of Physics, PAS, Al. Lotników 32/46, 02-668 Warszawa, Poland

Abstract

During epitaxy of GaN on sapphire grains form a mosaic structure. The distance between edge dislocations in these boundaries is from 2 to 15 nm. The strain around the dislocations is quantitatively measured by processing of HRTEM images. The dislocation core distribution maps and in plane Burgers vectors components are derived from the experimental strain tensor by applying the continuum dislocation theory. Experimental results were compared with the atomic models of edge dislocations calculated using a modified Stillinger–Weber potential for different atomic configurations of the cores. It is concluded that the strain field extracted from simulated images matches with that of observed dislocations. Starting from experimental distortion distribution data, the finite element calculations are used to estimate the stress around the boundaries. © 2002 Published by Elsevier Science B.V.

Keywords: Nitrides; Electron microscopy; Dislocations; Stress

1. Introduction

The knowledge of local strain fields associated with defects is important for understanding and modelling the properties of semiconductor devices, as well as for optimising the growth of heterostructures. This is particularly necessary in GaN based heterostructures where the density of defects is very high. The interference maxima of high resolution electron microscopy images give information about atomic positions in deformed crystals. Image processing and image simulations help to extract quantitative information. In the case of the strain field around a defect, one useful technique of image processing is the ‘geometric phase method’ proposed by Hytch et al. [1]. The dislocation core distribution measurement can be applied to determine the dislocation core type and Burgers vectors in an automatic way [2]. In this work we determine the stress fields in subgrains present in GaN layers grown on (0001) sapphire by using the experimentally measured distortion on the HRTEM images.

2. Experimental details and numerical processing

The planar-view TEM samples of GaN epilayers on sapphire substrate were prepared in the conventional way by mechanical polishing followed by ion milling. HRTEM was carried out on a Topcon 002B microscope operating at 200 KV, with a point resolution of 0.18 nm. The HRTEM images were formed by using 0110 diffracted beams, with a weak contribution of 1120 ones. The images were recorded on photographic films and digitised by sampling of 0.15 Å/pixel with 8 bits dynamic. Processing of experimental and simulated images was performed using routines written in ALI (Analytical Language for Images) of Optimas graphical environment [3]. In our procedure, the phase images $P_{gi}(x,y)$ are calculated for 1010, 0110 or 1100 lattice periodicities and z is perpendicular to the image plane. The lattice displacement field $\hat{u}(x,y)$ is calculated using the following vector relationship:

$$P_{gi}(x,y) = -2\pi g_i \cdot \hat{u}(x,y) \quad (1)$$

Differentiation of the displacement field $\hat{u}(x,y)$ followed by smoothing procedure gives possibility to deduce a continuous tensor field of lattice distortions

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +33-2-31-45-26-53; fax: +33-2-31-45-26-73.

E-mail address: nouet@ismra.fr (G. Nouet).




Fig. 1. (a) HRTEM image of a subgrain; (b) FFT of (a); (c),(d) phase images $P_{g_i}(x,y)$ obtained respectively for $\check{g}=1\bar{1}00$ and $\check{g}=0\bar{1}10$.

$\beta_{ij}(x,y)$. According to the continuum theory of dislocations [2] the further differentiation of the lattice distortion leads to determine the dislocation core distribution tensor.

$$\tilde{\alpha} = -\text{curl}\beta \quad (2)$$

The tensor $\tilde{\alpha}$ vanishes in the whole region excepting insides of the core where it forms characteristic peaks. By integration of these $\alpha_{ij}(x,y)$ values the in-plane Burgers vector $b_i = -\int_{S_c} \alpha_{ij} ds$ (S_c : dislocation core surface) is calculated. The invariant of the dislocation density tensor is defined by:

$$\rho_d(x,y) = \sqrt{\alpha(x,y)_{xz}^2 + \alpha(x,y)_{yz}^2} \quad (3)$$

where the components α_{xz} and α_{yz} of the dislocation core distribution tensor contribute respectively to the in-plane components of Burgers vector, b_x and b_y .

The Finite Element Method (FEM) is used to determine the stress distribution. As large values of distortions take place the finite deformation approach is performed, i.e. difference between the initial and deformed configurations is included in a algorithm. We use Finite Element calculation by with Taylor's FEAP program [4] which is modified to take into account the finite deformation of anisotropic crystals [5]. In the procedure applied the lattice distortion measured from




Fig. 2. Invariant of dislocation density tensor $\rho(x,y)$ calculated from HRTEM image (Fig. 1) used to reduce the stiffness near dislocation cores.

HRTEM image are stored in the nodes of the mesh. The dimensions of elements are changed in two ways: initial deformation–induced by the imposed distortions and elastic relaxation–due to elastic behaviour of material.

The boundary conditions for FEM are taken to be free; the left lower node has been constrained in xy -directions and right-lower node in y -direction.

The core region of dislocations is treated in a different way; the modules of elasticity which are function of the position x,y as stiffness are softened through the equation:

$$c_{ij}(x,y) = c_{ij} e^{-R\rho_d(x,y)} \quad (4)$$

where R is the factor reducing stiffness. The factor R allows the stiffness reduction in lattice disordered regions, the width and shape of dislocation cores corresponds to the spatial distribution of $\rho_d(x,y)$. The details of the method are published elsewhere [6]. Fig. 1 shows the used distribution $\rho_d(x,y)$ to calculate $c_{ij}(x,y)$.

3. Results and discussion

The image processing was first checked on simulated images of super cells ($14 \times 14 \times 0.5 \text{ nm}^3$) containing approximately 10000 atoms generated by anisotropic elasticity calculation in 2D for a $1/3 \langle 2\bar{1}\bar{1}0 \rangle$ edge dislocation. Different core configurations of the dislocations are made of 4, 8, 5/7 atom cycles in agreement with the experimental HRTEM images [7]. These super-cells used in image simulation were first relaxed using a modified Stillinger–Weber potential [8]. Images were




Fig. 3. The stress fields obtained from the experimentally measured distortion by FE calculation. The arrows show orientation of the Burgers vector.

123 calculated for thicknesses (2–15 nm) and defocus (0–
124 100 nm) series, using the multislice package of the
125 electron microscopy software [9]. Simulation shows that
126 for foil thickness $t=5-15$ nm and defocus windows $df=-$
127 $-10...-30$ nm the strain fields extracted from HREM
128 images are in agreement with the distortion calculated
129 by atomistic relaxation [10].

130 The above procedure was applied to a HRTEM image
131 of a sub-grain boundary in which the distance between
132 the dislocations is variable. The lattice distortion distri-
133 bution tensor $\beta_{ij}(x,y)$ and dislocation core distribution
134 $\alpha_{ij}(x,y)$ were calculated using the two phase images
135 shown on Fig. 1b,c and using Eqs. (1) and (2). The
136 $\beta_{ij}(x,y)$ distribution which is determined in this way in
137 thin TEM sample was read by finite element program
138 as initial values of distortion. FE iteration with border
139 condition corresponding to the bulk sample material was
140 performed to obtain stable solution and finally the stress
141 field was calculated as shown on Fig. 3. Fig. 2 shows
142 the $\rho(x,y)$ used to reduce stiffness.

143 All the dislocations in Fig. 3 have the same Burgers
144 vector $1/3 \langle 2\bar{1}\bar{1}0 \rangle$, but for some of them, this vector is
145 not parallel to the x axis. Only the dislocations marked:
146 1,2,3,4,5,6 have a similar distribution of σ_{ij} . For dislo-
147 cations 12,13,14,15,17 which have Burgers vectors ori-
148 ented in opposite direction the stress field has inverted
149 positive and negatives lobes. For the other dislocations
150 7,8,9,10,16 it is necessary to rotate the co-ordinate
151 system by 60° to obtain a corresponding stress field
152 distribution. The maximal values of calculated stress
153 reach $\pm 7-8$ GPa in the case of the σ_{xx} and σ_{yy}
154 component and ± 4 Gpa for σ_{xy} and σ_{zz} component.
155 These maximal values depend on the stiffness reduction
156 parameters and influence of this parameter needs to be
157 studied and discussed in the future.

158 Anyway, the R parameter influences only the stress
159 level inside the core of dislocations so the long range
160 stress fields are estimated correctly and the interactions
161 between dislocation can be analysed. It is clear that
162 interaction between these dislocations is very high. Only
163 dislocations separated by 7–9 nm can be considered as
164 independent (1,17,16).

165 Presented methods of the stress estimation at atomic
166 scale in GaN based epilayers is general and can be use
167 to study energetical stability of many nanoscopic
168 systems.

4. Summary

170 The finite element method applied here has many
171 additional advantages. For example it gives potential
172 ability to 3D simulation of the stress/strain behaviour
173 of HRTEM specimens. Another ability is the possible
174 division of the specimen into crystallographic FE cells.
175 This can be used to link atomic models for unit cells
176 with FE method. On the other hand, the well investigated
177 non-linear elastic behaviour of crystal lattice allows also
178 the prediction of the higher order elastic effects like e.g.
179 the volume expansion of crystal lattice induced by the
180 presence of dislocations. This expansion is responsible
181 for the interfacial surface tension induced by the pres-
182 ence of misfit dislocations which often leads to bending
183 or fracture of epitaxial layers.

Acknowledgments

184 This work is supported partially by the EU under
185 contract N° HPRN-CT-1999-00040. The tensorial anal-
186 ysis and FE calculations have been made possible by
187 financial support from the State Committee for Scientific
188 Research (KBN) in Poland under Grant No. 7 T07A
189 004 16.

References

- 190 [1] M.J. Hÿtch, E. Snoeck, R. Killaas, Ultramicroscopy 74 (1998)
191 131.
192 [2] S. Kret, P. Dłuzewski, E. Sobczak, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter
193 12 (2000) 10313.
194 [3] Optimas 6.5 User Guide and Technical Reference 1999, Media
195 Cybernetics.
196 [4] O.C. Zienkiewicz, R.J. Taylor, The Finite Element Method,
197 Fourth Edition, McGraw-Hill, London, 1989.
198 [5] M.A. Crisfield, Non-Linear Finite Element Analysis of Solid
199 and Structures, Willey, 1997.
200 [6] P. Dłuzewski, G. Maciejewski, G. Jurczak, S. Kret, Nonlinear
201 analysis of residual stresses induced by misfit dislocations in
202 epitaxial layers, submitted to publication.
203 [7] V. Potin, P. Ruterana, G. Nouet, R.C. Pond, H. Morkoç, Phys.
204 Rev. B 61 (2000) 5587.
205 [8] N. Aichoune, P. Ruterana, A. Hairie, G. Nouet, E. Paumier,
206 Comp. Mater. Sci. 17 (2000) 380.
207 [9] P. Stadelmann, Ultramicroscopy 21 (1987) 131.
208 [10] S. Kret, J. Chen, P. Ruterana, G. Nouet accepted in proceedings
209 of Microscopy of semiconducting materials Oxford 2001 paper
210 F8.